

Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 3, 1998

Mr. Michael Bostic Assistant City Attorney Criminal Law and Police Division City of Dallas City Hall Dallas, Texas 75201

OR98-2583

Dear Mr. Bostic:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 119228.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received a request for a specific arrest report. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and have reviewed the representative sample documents.¹

Section 552.108, the "law enforcement exception," provides in relevant part as follows:

(a) [i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of 552.021 if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation or prosecution of crime; [or] (2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

You state that this case is currently scheduled for trial in Dallas Municipal Court No. 2. Because you assert that the release of the requested information would interfere with the prosecution of crime, we conclude that the requested information may be withheld under section 552.108(a)(1). See Open Records Decision No. 216 (1978). We note, however, that information normally found on the front page of an offense report is generally considered public. Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976). Thus, you must release the type of information that is considered to be front page offense report information, even if this information is not actually located on the front page of the offense report. Gov't Code § 552.108(c); see Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing the types of information deemed public by Houston Chronicle).

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied on as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have any questions regarding this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

June B. Harden

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JBH/ch

Ref.:

ID# 119228

Enclosures:

Submitted documents

cc:

Ms. Jennifer Kane

5429 Martel

Dallas, Texas 75206 (w/o enclosures)